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Abstract | The physical distance between presynaptic Ca\(^{2+}\) channels and the Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors that trigger exocytosis of neurotransmitter-containing vesicles is a key determinant of the signalling properties of synapses in the nervous system. Recent functional analysis indicates that in some fast central synapses, transmitter release is triggered by a small number of Ca\(^{2+}\) channels that are coupled to Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors at the nanometre scale. Molecular analysis suggests that this tight coupling is generated by protein–protein interactions involving Ca\(^{2+}\) channels, Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors and various other synaptic proteins. Nanodomain coupling has several functional advantages, as it increases the efficacy, speed and energy efficiency of synaptic transmission.

Synaptic transmission involves a highly complex series of events. When an action potential invades a presynaptic terminal, Ca\(^{2+}\) inflow through voltage-gated Ca\(^{2+}\) channels leads to a rise in intracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration. Next, Ca\(^{2+}\) binds to a presynaptic Ca\(^{2+}\) sensor, which subsequently triggers exocytosis of neurotransmitter-containing synaptic vesicles. Finally, the released transmitter diffuses across the synaptic cleft and binds to postsynaptic receptors. Thus, a voltage change in the presynaptic neuron (the action potential) is converted into two chemical signals (Ca\(^{2+}\) and transmitter) and then converted into an electrical response in the postsynaptic cell. Remarkably, what sounds like a lengthy sequence of slow biophysical and biochemical events takes place in less than a millisecond\(^{2–5}\).

How such a short synaptic delay can be achieved is not completely understood. According to the laws of physics, diffusion time is proportional to the square of distance\(^{6}\). Thus, the high speed of synaptic transmission requires tight packing of the relevant molecules. The hypothesis that there is tight coupling between Ca\(^{2+}\) channels and Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors of exocytosis received initial support from experiments on two ‘classical’ synapses in the peripheral nervous system: the frog neuromuscular junction (FIG. 1a) and the squid giant synapse (FIG. 1b). At the frog neuromuscular junction, high-resolution electron microscopy tomography revealed that the distance between putative Ca\(^{2+}\) channels and synaptic vesicles was only \(\sim\)20 nm (REF 9) and modelling combined with cooperativity measurements suggested that vesicle fusion results from the Ca\(^{2+}\) inflow through only one or two Ca\(^{2+}\) channels\(^{10}\). Similarly, at the squid giant synapse, functional analysis indicated that the Ca\(^{2+}\) source and Ca\(^{2+}\) sensor are tightly coupled at nanometre distance\(^{11}\) and only a few Ca\(^{2+}\) channels are required for release\(^{12,13}\). Evidence for both tight coupling and the involvement of a small number of channels has also been presented for the ciliary ganglion calyx synapses of the chick\(^{14,15}\). In this uniquely accessible synaptic preparation, simultaneous electrophysiological recording from the transmitter release face of the calyx terminal and biochemical detection of transmitter release demonstrated that the opening of a single presynaptic Ca\(^{2+}\) channel can trigger exocytosis\(^{16}\).

Notably, all of these synapses have highly specialized properties and belong to peripheral nervous systems of invertebrates or lower vertebrates. Does nanodomain coupling also occur at synapses in the mammalian CNS? This is an important question for several reasons. First, detailed knowledge about coupling is essential to understand the biophysical factors shaping the efficacy and speed of synaptic transmission. Second, knowledge about coupling is necessary to correctly interpret the mechanisms of presynaptic forms of plasticity\(^{17}\) and the action of Ca\(^{2+}\) buffers\(^{17}\). Finally, obtaining an answer is important for understanding the mechanisms underlying information processing and coding in the brain. A definitive answer has been obtained only recently, after a range of central synapses were made accessible to quantitative biophysical analysis. These include the young and mature calyx of Held (a glutamatergic synapse in the auditory system\(^{18,19}\) (FIG. 1c)) and GABAergic synapses in the hippocampus and the cerebellum\(^{20,21}\) (FIG. 1d,e).
that mediate fast feedforward and feedback inhibition in neuronal microcircuits.

In this Review, we summarize recent evidence for tight coupling between Ca\(^{2+}\) channels and Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors of exocytosis at central synapses, address the molecular mechanisms involved and discuss the functional implications of this coupling configuration.

**Tight coupling at fast central synapses**

The coupling distance between Ca\(^{2+}\) channels and Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors can be probed using the intracellular application of two exogenous Ca\(^{2+}\) chelators that have different binding rates (\(k_{\text{on}}\)), but comparable affinities (\(K_a\)) (TABLE 1). The basic principle is simple (BOX 1). If the distance between Ca\(^{2+}\) channels and Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors of exocytosis is short (smaller than 100 nm), only the fast Ca\(^{2+}\) chelator BAPTA, but not the slow Ca\(^{2+}\) chelator EGTA, will have enough time to capture the Ca\(^{2+}\) on its way from the Ca\(^{2+}\) chelator to the Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors and impair transmission in millimolar concentrations. By contrast, if the coupling distance is longer, both the fast and the slow Ca\(^{2+}\) chelator will be effective.

This approach has been applied to several synapses in the mammalian CNS, leading to surprising results. In the
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**Ca\(^{2+}\) chelators**

Chemical substances that bind Ca\(^{2+}\). In synaptic physiology, BAPTA and EGTA are widely used Ca\(^{2+}\) chelators. Both chelators are also available in membrane-permeable acetoxymethyl ester (AM) forms.

**BAPTA**

1,2-bis(2-aminophenoxy)ethane-N,N,N\(^{\prime}\),N\(^{\prime}\)-tetraacetic acid

**EGTA**

ethyleneglycol-bis(2-aminoethylether)-N,N,N\(^{\prime}\),N\(^{\prime}\)-tetraacetic acid

---

**Introduction to Ca\(^{2+}\) Imaging**

- **Model synapses used for the analysis of Ca\(^{2+}\) channel-sensor coupling.**
  - **a** | The frog neuromuscular junction, which is a classical preparation for the analysis of synaptic transmission. This synapse is formed between motor axons (yellow) and skeletal muscle fibres (pink). A technical advantage is the 1:1 innervation (1 motor axon:1 muscle fibre).
  - **b** | The squid giant synapse. This synapse is established between second and third order giant nerve fibres in the stellate ganglion of the squid. A technical advantage is that presynaptic elements can be recorded directly with sharp microelectrodes. The calyx of Held in the auditory brainstem. This synapse is formed between the globular bushy cells in the cochlear nucleus and the neurons of the medial nucleus of the trapezoid body (MNTB). A technical advantage of this synapse is that presynaptic terminals can be recorded directly with patch-clamp techniques. However, a disadvantage is that recordings from older animals (>postnatal day 8–10) are difficult.
  - **c** | The hippocampal dentate gyrus basket cell synapse. This synapse is established between fast-spiking, parvalbumin-expressing basket cells in the hippocampus (yellow) and postsynaptic target cells (in this case granule cells, blue).
  - **d** | The cerebellar basket cell synapse. This synapse is established between parvalbumin-expressing basket cells in the cerebellum (yellow) and postsynaptic target cells (in this case Purkinje cells, blue).
  - **e** | The frog neuromuscular junction. A technical advantage of this synapse is that presynaptic terminals cannot be routinely recorded. Part a is modified, with permission, from REF. 157 © (1992) Sinauer. Part b is modified, with permission, from REF. 136 © (1957) The Rockefeller University Press. Part c is modified, with permission, from REF. 19 © (2002) Macmillan Publishers Ltd. All rights reserved.
young calyx of Held (~8–10 days after birth) and in neocortical glutamatergic synapses (~14–16 days after birth), evoked transmitter release is suppressed by ~1 mM intracellular BAPTA, but also by ~10 mM EGTA \(^{(22,27)}\) (TABLE 2; FIG. 2a–c). This implies that the distance between Ca\(^{2+}\) channels and Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors must be long. At the young calyx of Held, quantitative modelling suggests that the average coupling distance is ~100 nm (range from 30 to 300 nm) \(^{(22)}\). Thus, evoked transmitter release at these synapses is triggered by so-called 'Ca\(^{2+}\) microdomains'.

By contrast, at the output synapses of fast-spiking, parvalbumin-expressing GABAergic interneurons (basket cells) in the hippocampus (typically recorded ~18–21 days after birth), evoked transmitter release is inhibited by millimolar concentrations of BAPTA, but is largely unaffected by 30 mM EGTA \(^{(26)}\) (TABLE 2; FIG. 2a–c). Furthermore, at the output synapses of inhibitory cells in the cerebellum, intracellular application of 1 mM EGTA has no effect on the proportion of synaptic failures \(^{(24)}\). Likewise, at cerebellar basket cell synapses, bath application of 20 mM of membrane-permeable EGTA acetoxy-methyl ester (EGTA-AM) has only minimal effects on evoked transmitter release following a single presynaptic action potential \(^{(27)}\). Although in the case of bath application of EGTA-AM the concentration of intracellular EGTA is only roughly known \(^{(26)}\), these results may suggest tight coupling between Ca\(^{2+}\) source and Ca\(^{2+}\) sensor. At the hippocampal basket cell–granule cell synapse, quantitative modelling reveals a uniform coupling distance in the range of 10–20 nm (REF. 26; FIG. 2c). Thus, evoked transmitter release at fast hippocampal and cerebellar GABAergic synapses is triggered by 'Ca\(^{2+}\) nanodomains'.

Although the terms nanodomain and microdomain are widely used, their definitions are not very precise and have undergone historic shifts. Originally, the term microdomain was used to describe the high concentration of Ca\(^{2+}\) found near an open Ca\(^{2+}\) channel \(^{(28–32)}\). Despite the name, these microdomains actually have spatial dimensions in the nanometre range ('micro' in 'microdomain' means 'small' in Greek). More recently, the terms nanodomain and microdomain have been widely applied to distinguish tight and loose coupling regimes. This definition is also confusing, as a limit of 50–150 nm is often used to separate between the two domains. Throughout this Review, we pragmatically refer to nanodomain coupling if the mean coupling distance is <100 nm, and to microdomain coupling if the distance is larger (BOX 1).

The Ca\(^{2+}\) chelator experiments not only suggest differences in the mean coupling distance but also in the uniformity of source–sensor coupling between synapses. In the young calyx of Held, 1 mM and 10 mM EGTA are almost equally effective \(^{(22)}\). Accordingly, there is no single distance value that describes the concentration dependence of the chelator's effects at this synapse \(^{(33)}\). This suggests substantial non-uniformity in the coupling distance \(^{(22)}\). This hypothesis is corroborated by uncaging experiments, which indicate that a subpopulation of vesicles in the calyx is reluctantly released following Ca\(^{2+}\) channel opening, but rapidly released by Ca\(^{2+}\) uncaging \(^{(34,35)}\). By contrast, in the output synapses of hippocampal basket cells, a single coupling distance can adequately describe the effects of BAPTA and EGTA over a wide concentration range \(^{(28)}\) (FIG. 2c). This suggests that the coupling is substantially more uniform \(^{(28)}\). Consistent with this idea, the estimates of releasable pool sizes, as determined by action potential trains and sucrose application, differ at excitatory synapses but are comparable in inhibitory synapses \(^{(36)}\). Thus, the tightness and uniformity of coupling at different synapses seem to be related.

The finding that the calyx of Held uses microdomain signalling for transmitter release \(^{(36)}\) was puzzling for several reasons. First, it was difficult to accept that two synapses with calyx morphology (the calyx of Held and the ciliary ganglion calyx) would differ fundamentally in the coupling configuration. Second, if tight coupling served the purpose of improving the speed and precision of transmitter release, it may be surprising that it is not utilized in the auditory system, where the timing of signalling is critically important. Indeed, analysis of coupling at the auditory hair cell ribbon synapse (the first station in the auditory pathway) revealed that transmitter release was blocked by intracellular BAPTA, but not EGTA, suggesting nanodomain

#### Table 1 | Physicochemical properties of exogenous and endogenous Ca\(^{2+}\) buffers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Chelator/Ca(^{2+})-binding protein</th>
<th>Ca(^{2+})-binding rate (k_{on})</th>
<th>Ca(^{2+})-unbinding rate (k_{off})</th>
<th>Affinity (K_D)</th>
<th>Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BAPTA*</td>
<td>4 × 10^5 M(^{-1}) s(^{-1})</td>
<td>88 s(^{-1})</td>
<td>220 nM</td>
<td>22,33,139</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EGTA*</td>
<td>1 × 10^5 M(^{-1}) s(^{-1})</td>
<td>0.7 s(^{-1})</td>
<td>70 nM</td>
<td>22,77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calbindin</td>
<td>7.5 × 10^4 M(^{-1}) s(^{-1})</td>
<td>29.5 s(^{-1})</td>
<td>293 nM(^4)</td>
<td>77,79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calretinin</td>
<td>1.8 × 10^6 M(^{-1}) s(^{-1}) (T)</td>
<td>1.29 s(^{-1}) (T)</td>
<td>717 nM(^7)</td>
<td>78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.1 × 10^5 M(^{-1}) s(^{-1}) (R)</td>
<td>1.73 s(^{-1}) (R)</td>
<td>5.6 nM(^8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calmodulin N-lobe(^b)</td>
<td>7.7 × 10^6 M(^{-1}) s(^{-1}) (T)</td>
<td>1.6 × 10^5 s(^{-1}) (T)</td>
<td>208 µM(^7)</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3.2 × 10^6 M(^{-1}) s(^{-1}) (R)</td>
<td>2.2 × 10^6 s(^{-1}) (R)</td>
<td>688 nM(^7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calmodulin C-lobe(^b)</td>
<td>8.4 × 10^6 M(^{-1}) s(^{-1}) (T)</td>
<td>2.6 × 10^6 s(^{-1}) (T)</td>
<td>31 µM(^7)</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2.5 × 10^5 M(^{-1}) s(^{-1}) (R)</td>
<td>6.5 s(^{-1}) (R)</td>
<td>260 nM(^1)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*For the exogenous chelators, the Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding rate (on rate) is ~40 times higher for BAPTA than for EGTA. By contrast, the affinity values are comparable; in fact the affinity is threefold lower for BAPTA than for EGTA. This value was calculated using \(K_D = k_{on} / k_{off}\).

\(^{b}\)For the Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding proteins calretinin and calmodulin, Ca\(^{2+}\) binding is highly cooperative. Therefore, rates are given separately for tense (T) and relaxed (R) conformations of the protein.
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**Ca\(^{2+}\) microdomains**

Domains of elevated Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration that extend over more than 100 nanometres.

Note that this definition does not imply that the size of the domain is in the micrometre range (1 µm = 10\(^{-4}\) m).

**Basket cells**

Types of perisomatic inhibitory GABAergic interneurons in the hippocampus and cerebellum. The name was given as the axon forms 'baskets' around somata of postsynaptic target cells.

**Ca\(^{2+}\) nanodomains**

Domains of elevated Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration that extend over less than 100 nanometres (1 nm = 10\(^{-9}\) m).
Developmental processes may also regulate the tight ties of synapses of parvalbumin- and cholecystokinin (CCK)-expressing interneurons onto hippocampal granule cells and pyramidal cells. The fast-spiking, parvalbumin-expressing interneurons exhibit tight coupling, as confirmed by the lack of effect of external EGTA-AM, whereas the CCK-expressing interneurons show loose coupling, as demonstrated by the large effect of EGTA-AM on evoked release under identical experimental conditions.

Furthermore, synapses formed by the same presynaptic neuron on different postsynaptic target cells can differ in their coupling configuration. The diverging output from layer 2/3 pyramidal neurons in the neocortex onto two types of interneurons provides a clear example. Layer 2/3 pyramidal neuron synapses on multipolar (presumably parvalbumin-expressing) interneurons are less sensitive to EGTA than synapses on bipolar (presumably somatostatin-expressing) interneurons. These results may imply that a retrograde signalling mechanism regulates the tightness of the coupling in the presynaptic terminals.

Finally, the available results suggest that the use of nanodomain versus microdomain coupling may in some cases be pathway-specific. For example, both the input and the output synapses of parvalbumin-expressing interneurons use relatively tight coupling to trigger transmitter release. Likewise, both hair cells and mature calyces in the auditory system rely on nanodomain coupling. Thus, the tightness of coupling appears to be regulated in a pathway-specific manner. This regulation may be activity-dependent, but a more systematic analysis of different synapses, microcircuits and conditions will be needed to test this hypothesis.

An intriguing possibility is that the coupling between Ca²⁺ channels and Ca²⁺ sensors of exocytosis is not static, but is regulated dynamically. Recent results suggest that the induction of presynaptic long-term potentiation at coupling.[24] Similar results were obtained at ribbon synapses in the visual system. A resolution of this apparent paradox was provided when coupling at the calyx of Held was examined at different developmental stages. In the mature calyx of Held (~16–18 days after birth), release is suppressed by millimolar concentrations of intracellular BAPTA, but is unaffected by 10 mM intracellular EGTA (TABLE 2). Modelling indicated that the coupling distance decreased to ~20 nm during development, which is a similar distance to that at the hippocampal basket cell synapses. Thus, transmitter release at fast synapses in the mature auditory pathway is also triggered by Ca²⁺ nanodomains. Developmental processes may also regulate the tightness of coupling at glutamatergic synapses and GABAergic synapses in the cortex. A systematic analysis of different synapses at different developmental stages is required to address this issue.

**Specification and regulation of coupling**

The results described above suggest that certain synapses in neuronal microcircuits (such as fast GABAergic output synapses of hippocampal or cerebellar basket cells) use nanodomain coupling, whereas others (such as glutamatergic synapses between layer 5 pyramidal neurons) involve microdomain coupling. These results raise two important questions. What are the rules that lead to the use of nanodomain signalling in one case and microdomain signalling in the other case, and is the coupling distance regulated dynamically?

Several lines of evidence suggest that synapses formed by different presynaptic neurons on the same target cell can use different coupling configurations. One example is provided by the opposite properties of synapses of parvalbumin- and cholecystokinin (CCK)-expressing interneurons onto hippocampal granule cells and pyramidal cells. The fast-spiking, parvalbumin-expressing interneurons exhibit tight coupling, as confirmed by the lack of effect of external EGTA-AM, whereas the CCK-expressing interneurons show loose coupling, as demonstrated by the large effect of EGTA-AM on evoked release under identical experimental conditions.

**Box 1 | Probing nanodomains and microdomains with exogenous Ca²⁺ chelators**

The distance between Ca²⁺ source and Ca²⁺ sensor can be probed using Ca²⁺ chelators with different Ca²⁺-binding rates. But the comparable affinities of Ca²⁺ chelators suppress synaptic transmission by intercepting the Ca²⁺ on its way from the Ca²⁺ source to the Ca²⁺ sensor. The exact amount of block depends on source–sensor distance, binding rate and concentration of the chelator. If the coupling distance is short, only the fast Ca²⁺ chelator will have an effect at millimolar concentrations. If the coupling distance is long, both fast and slow Ca²⁺ chelators will be effective, according to their affinity at equilibrium. This approach was first applied to the squid giant synapse using the fast chelator BAPTA and the slow chelator EGTA. BAPTA and EGTA are ideal experimental tools because they differ by a factor of ~40 in their on rates, but show comparable affinity values (TABLE 1).

The concentration dependence of the BAPTA and EGTA effects provides information about the average coupling distance between Ca²⁺ channels and Ca²⁺ sensors. Such data may be used to distinguish between nanodomain and microdomain coupling regimes. The concentration dependence of the chelator effects also provides information about the uniformity of the coupling distance. For example, at the young calyx of Held, the concentration dependence determined experimentally can only be described by theoretical models if significant non-uniformity in the coupling distance is assumed.

Although the terms nanodomain and microdomain are widely used, they are not precisely defined. What is the distance limit between nanodomains and microdomains? One approach is to use the border between diffusion regimes and buffering regimes as a criterion (for example, by choosing a distance where buffering reduces the Ca²⁺ concentration to 50%). This can be roughly estimated from the length constant (λ) of endogenous buffers.

With \( λ = \sqrt{\frac{\text{D}_{\text{Ca}}}{k_{\text{m}}[B]}} \), where \( \text{D}_{\text{Ca}} = 220 \mu \text{m}^2 \text{s}^{-1} \) (REF. 17), \( k_{\text{m}} = 10^8 \text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1} \) (am on rate representative of endogenous buffers (TABLE 1)) and \( [B] = 100 \mu \text{M}, [\text{Ca}^{2+}]_{\text{max}} \) is reached at a distance of 100 nm. Alternatively, the limit may be set according to vesicle size and active zone size. As the radius of synaptic vesicles is ~20 nm (REF. 64) and the radius of active zones is typically ~150 nm (REFS 26, 40, 64, 65), the limit should be set in between. Throughout this Review, we define the border between nanodomain and microdomain at a distance of 100 nm.

**TABLE 1**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D&lt;sub&gt;Ca&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>220 μm&lt;sup&gt;2&lt;/sup&gt;s&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>k&lt;sub&gt;m&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>10&lt;sup&gt;8&lt;/sup&gt; M&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;s&lt;sup&gt;-1&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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distal perforant path synapses on CA1 pyramidal neurons is associated with an alteration in the dependence of transmitter release on P/Q- or N-type Ca$^{2+}$ channels — resulting in an increased contribution of N-type Ca$^{2+}$ channels after potentiation (FIG. 2f). It is possible that these changes are connected to changes in channel-sensor coupling. Thus, dynamic regulation of the coupling distance may contribute to presynaptic forms of plasticity at central synapses.

In conclusion, the available evidence indicates that nanodomain coupling is regulated by both pre- and postsynaptic neurons, probably in a pathway-specific manner. Furthermore, recent results suggest that the coupling configuration is not static, but is regulated dynamically during presynaptic forms of synaptic plasticity. Further experiments will be needed to directly examine the dynamics of the coupling during presynaptic forms of plasticity.

How many Ca$^{2+}$ channels for release?
Nanodomain coupling between Ca$^{2+}$ channels and Ca$^{2+}$ sensors places structural and functional constraints on the number of Ca$^{2+}$ channels that can be involved in transmitter release. As voltage-gated Ca$^{2+}$ channel proteins have a diameter of ~10 nm (REF. 49), the highest channel density that is physically possible is ~10,000 μm$^{-2}$. Accordingly, the number of Ca$^{2+}$ channels involved in transmitter release in nanodomain coupling regimes must be small. For example, only ~12 channels can be placed on a planar presynaptic membrane within 20 nm from a synaptic vesicle. Furthermore, if coupling is tight, only a small number of Ca$^{2+}$ channels may be needed to reach effective Ca$^{2+}$ concentrations at the sensor.

How can one experimentally determine the number of open Ca$^{2+}$ channels necessary for transmitter release?
A classical approach is based on an analysis of the relationship between presynaptic Ca$^{2+}$ inflow and transmitter release during an experimental reduction in the number of active Ca$^{2+}$ channels. Such a reduction of Ca$^{2+}$ channel number can be achieved either by application of slow Ca$^{2+}$ channel blockers, such as peptide toxins, or by modifying the presynaptic voltage waveform that triggers exocytosis. The basic idea is relatively simple (BOX 2). If several open Ca$^{2+}$ channels jointly trigger the release of a synaptic vesicle, the progressive reduction of Ca$^{2+}$ inflow will lead to a sublinear reduction in transmitter release. This results from the so-called ‘intrinsic’ or ‘biochemical’ cooperativity.

---

**Table 2 | Sensitivity to BAPTA and EGTA distinguishes between nanodomain and microdomain coupling**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synapse</th>
<th>Age* and species</th>
<th>BAPTA IC$_{50}$ $^\dagger$ or PSC amplitude</th>
<th>EGTA IC$_{50}$ $^\dagger$ or PSC amplitude</th>
<th>Refs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Synapses with nanodomain coupling</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Squid giant synapse</td>
<td>Adult squid</td>
<td>0.73 mM</td>
<td>&gt;&gt;80 mM</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mature calyx of Held</td>
<td>P16-18 mouse</td>
<td>1.3 mM</td>
<td>35.4 mM</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampal basket cell–granule cell synapse</td>
<td>P18–21 rat</td>
<td>1.6 mM</td>
<td>61.5 mM</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hippocampal basket cell–granule cell synapse</td>
<td>P19–22 rat</td>
<td>63.9 ± 4.3% in 100 μM BAPTA-AM</td>
<td>No effect in 100 μM EGTA-AM $^b$</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellar molecular layer interneuron–interneuron synapse</td>
<td>P14–20 rat</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>97.5 ± 4.8% and 82.8 ± 11.3% in 20 μM EGTA-AM $^b$</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellar climbing fibre–Purkinje cell synapse</td>
<td>P8–20 rat</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>103 ± 5% in 20 μM EGTA-AM $^b$</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auditory hair cell ribbon synapse</td>
<td>P14–40 mouse</td>
<td>&lt;&lt;5 mM (almost complete block)</td>
<td>&gt;&gt;5 mM</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retinal bipolar cell ribbon synapse</td>
<td>P15–25 rat</td>
<td>2.2 mM</td>
<td>&gt;&gt;5–10 mM</td>
<td>140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Synapses with microdomain coupling</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young calyx of Held</td>
<td>P8–12 mouse</td>
<td>1.3 mM</td>
<td>7.5 mM</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young calyx of Held</td>
<td>P8–10 rat</td>
<td>0.61 mM</td>
<td>13.3 mM</td>
<td>3,141</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layer 5/layer 5 neocortical synapse</td>
<td>P14–16 rat</td>
<td>0.7 mM</td>
<td>7.9 mM</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layer 2/3 pyramidal cell synapse on bitufted interneuron</td>
<td>P14–15 rat</td>
<td>0.1 mM</td>
<td>1 mM</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Layer 2/3 pyramidal cell synapse on multipolar interneuron</td>
<td>P14–15 rat</td>
<td>0.5 mM</td>
<td>7 mM</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CCK interneuron–granule cell synapse</td>
<td>P19–22 rat</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>6.8 ± 3.8% in 100 μM EGTA-AM $^b$</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cerebellar climbing fibre synapse, ectopic release on Bergmann glial cell</td>
<td>P8–20 rat</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>67 ± 11% in 20 μM EGTA-AM $^b$</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EGTA-AM, EGTA acetyoxymethyl ester; P: postnatal day; PSC, postsynaptic current. *For the calyx of Held, P12 is an important reference point because it represents the onset of hearing. $^\dagger$IC$_{50}$ (concentration of an inhibitor at which 50% inhibition of the response is seen) values were either directly taken from references or calculated from the amount of block according to a Hill equation. $^\dagger$AM forms of EGTA permeate cell membranes easily. Once the intracellular compartment is reached, the AM residue is cleaved by endogenous esterases, and the Ca$^{2+}$ chelator is trapped intracellularly. Although the precise EGTA concentration is not known, it is thought that this trapping mechanism leads to a ~100-fold enrichment in comparison to the extracellular concentration.
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of the Ca\(^{2+}\) sensor synaptotagmin, which has five binding sites for Ca\(^{2+}\) (REFS 52,53) and is expressed in multiple copies on each synaptic vesicle\(^4\). By contrast, in the extreme case when only a single open Ca\(^{2+}\) channel triggers release of a synaptic vesicle, the slow blocker will reduce Ca\(^{2+}\) inflow and release proportionally.

This approach has recently been applied to various central synapses. At the young calyx of Held, the relationship between evoked transmitter release and presynaptic Ca\(^{2+}\) currents during slow Ca\(^{2+}\) channel block is highly supralinear, with a power coefficient (m) greater than 3, suggesting the involvement of a large number of open Ca\(^{2+}\) channels\(^23,41,55\). By contrast, at the output synapses of hippocampal basket cells, the relationship is only slightly supralinear, with a power coefficient of 1.6 (REF. 56) (FIG. 2h,i). Modelling of experimental data with a binomial model of Ca\(^{2+}\) channel block suggested that two or three open Ca\(^{2+}\) channels trigger transmitter release at this synapse. Furthermore, in the mouse calyx of Held, the power coefficient is markedly reduced during development\(^23\). Likewise, in the rat calyx, the power coefficient is slightly reduced during development and the relationship between transmitter release and Ca\(^{2+}\) charge is shifted to the left\(^57\). Collectively, these results suggest that during development the number of open channels required for transmitter release is reduced, while the tightness of the coupling of these channels to their Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors is increased. Modelling also suggested the involvement of a small number of open Ca\(^{2+}\) channels in the mature calyx\(^42\). Finally, in both auditory hair cell ribbon synapses and retinal ribbon synapses, the relationship between evoked transmitter release and
presynaptic Ca$^{2+}$ during slow Ca$^{2+}$ channel block shows a power coefficient of 1.1–1.4, also suggesting the involvement of a small number of open Ca$^{2+}$ channels$^{39,46,58}$. The involvement of a small number of open Ca$^{2+}$ channels may be explained by two different configurations. In the first scenario, only a small number of Ca$^{2+}$ channels are present at each active zone, but these channels are activated effectively by presynaptic action potentials. In the second scenario, the total Ca$^{2+}$ channel number is large, but the efficacy of activation is low. In fast CNS synapses, the high efficacy of activation of P/Q- and N-type Ca$^{2+}$ channels by action potentials (relative open probability 0.35–0.88 in different mammalian presynaptic terminals, including the calyx of Held)$^{59–62}$ argues in favour of the first scenario. By contrast, in the auditory hair cell synapses, the lower efficacy of activation of L-type Ca$^{2+}$ channels would be more consistent with the second scenario$^{66}$.

These results converge towards a quantitative picture of signalling at fast central synapses. If an action potential invades a presynaptic structure, two or three Ca$^{2+}$ channels near any given vesicle will open, generating a Ca$^{2+}$ nanodomain. The Ca$^{2+}$ concentration is high in the centre of the nanodomain, but steeply declines as a function of distance according to the laws of diffusion (Supplementary information S1 (box)). Thus, the Ca$^{2+}$ sensor on the vesicle membrane would see a Ca$^{2+}$ transient with a high peak concentration and a fast time course, leading to vesicle fusion with high probability, short delay and high temporal precision. In this scenario, a release site would correspond to a channel–vesicle nanocomplex. Ca$^{2+}$ chelator experiments and cooperativity measurements provide additional constraints for the topographical arrangement of Ca$^{2+}$ channels and vesicles in presynaptic terminals. First, they indicate that these nanocomplexes are sufficiently separated from their nearest neighbours so that their Ca$^{2+}$ nanodomains do not overlap$^{22,24,46,58}$. Second, they suggest that nanocomplexes must be sufficiently far away from isolated Ca$^{2+}$ channels that are not coupled to any synaptic vesicles. Finally, they imply that nanocomplexes are distant from isolated fusion competent vesicles that are not coupled to any Ca$^{2+}$ channels$^{64}$. How could this segregation of Ca$^{2+}$ channel–vesicle nanocomplexes be achieved? In basket cell synapses, which have small boutons with often a single active zone$^{26}$, nanocomplexes could be allocated to different boutons. At mature calyx synapses, which have ~600 active zones$^{46,64}$, or in auditory hair cells, which have ~15 active zones$^{46,65}$, nanocomplexes could be placed into different active zones of the same presynaptic terminal. However, sufficient separation may also be possible if nanocomplexes are located in different subregions of the same active zone. Active zones have a mean area of ~0.1 μm$^2$ (0.094 ± 0.01 μm$^2$) in hippocampal basket cell synapses (A. Kulik, personal communication, and see REF. 26), 0.0996 μm$^2$ in the young calyx$^{41}$, 0.0548 μm$^2$ in the mature calyx$^{40}$ and 0.06 μm$^2$ in auditory hair cells$^{66}$, which corresponds to the area of a circle with ~150 nm radius. If channel–vesicle nanocomplexes were preferentially placed in the periphery (for example, via protein–protein interactions) several of these complexes could be accommodated in a single active zone.

**Nanodomains and endogenous Ca$^{2+}$ buffers**

The defining feature of nanodomain coupling is that the fast exogenous buffer BAPTA interferes with release at millimolar concentrations, whereas the slow exogenous buffer EGTA is ineffective$^{67}$. This raises the question of how endogenous buffers act in nanodomain coupling regimes. A large number of Ca$^{2+}$ buffers are present in presynaptic terminals of fast signalling synapses. These include parvalbumin in GABAergic synapses in the hippocampus, the cerebellum and the calyx of Held$^{46,69}$, calretinin in the mature calyx of Held and auditory or vestibular hair cells$^{50,71}$, and calbindin in auditory hair cells$^{66,22}$. In addition, several proteins in the active zone have binding sites for Ca$^{2+}$. These include MUNC13

---

**Figure 2 | Experimental determination of the coupling distance and the number of open Ca$^{2+}$ channels that mediate transmitter release. a | Ca$^{2+}$ chelators with different on rates are used to probe the distance between Ca$^{2+}$ channels and sensors. In a tight coupling regime (left), only the fast Ca$^{2+}$ chelator BAPTA, but not the slow Ca$^{2+}$ chelator EGTA, will capture the Ca$^{2+}$ on its way from the source to the sensor. By contrast, in a loose coupling regime (right), both chelators will be effective, according to their affinity values, which are comparable. b | Effects of 30 mM EGTA on unitary inhibitory postsynaptic currents (IPSCs) at the hippocampal basket cell–granule cell synapse under steady-state conditions. Orange traces, presynaptic action potentials; black traces, IPSCs; green traces, averages. Note that EGTA has only minimal effects at this synapse. c | Concentration dependence of the effects of BAPTA and EGTA at the hippocampal basket cell–granule cell synapse. Lines represent predictions of a reaction–diffusion model simplified by linearization (continuous lines, predictions for a single Ca$^{2+}$ channel; dashed lines, predictions for a cluster of multiple Ca$^{2+}$ channels). The best description of the experimental data was obtained assuming a coupling distance of 12 nm. d,e | Target-cell-specific differences in the coupling distance. Concentration dependence of the effects of BAPTA and EGTA at glutamatergic synapses formed by pyramidal neurons in somatosensory cortex on bitufted interneurons (presumably representing somatostatin-positive subtypes) and multipolar interneurons (presumably representing parvalbumin–expressing subtypes). In the pyramidal neuron–multipolar interneuron synapses, synaptic transmission is only weakly sensitive to EGTA, suggesting tight coupling between Ca$^{2+}$ channels and sensors. f | Presynaptic plasticity changes the contribution of N-type Ca$^{2+}$ channels to transmitter release at glutamatergic perforant path synapses on hippocampal CA1 pyramidal neurons. After 200 Hz tetanic stimulation (arrow, Tet), inducing a presumably presynaptic form of long-term potentiation, the amount of block by ω-conotoxin GVIA (ω-Ctx GVIA), a selective N-type channel blocker, increases, suggesting that transmission becomes increasingly dependent on N-type channels. g | A slow calcium channel blocker can be used to estimate the number of open channels required for neurotransmission. In a multiple channel coupling scenario (upper panel), blocking Ca$^{2+}$ channels with a slow blocker scales the Ca$^{2+}$ transient at the vesicular Ca$^{2+}$ sensor, reducing transmitter release supralinearly. In a single-channel scenario (lower panel), blocking Ca$^{2+}$ channels sequentially eliminates channel–vesicle nanocomplexes, inhibiting transmitter release linearly. h | Ca$^{2+}$ transient (upper traces) and IPSCs (lower traces) at the hippocampal basket cell–granule cell synapse before and after application of ω-agatoxin IVA (ω-Aga IVA). Corresponding scale bars are at the bottom. Note that the toxin reduces Ca$^{2+}$ transients and IPSCs to a comparable extent. Presynaptic Ca$^{2+}$ transients were measured as relative fluorescence changes (ΔF/F) using the Ca$^{2+}$ indicator dye Oregon Green BAPTA-1. i | Plot of peak amplitudes of synaptic currents as a measure of exocytosis against ΔF/F, as a measure of Ca$^{2+}$ inflow (both normalized to the respective control value). The blue lines show the predictions of a binomial model of Ca$^{2+}$ channel block with different numbers of open Ca$^{2+}$ channels (n=1, 2 or 10). The red curve shows free fit with a power function. Note that the best fit of the experimental observations can be obtained with a model assuming two or three Ca$^{2+}$ channels. Parts b and c are reproduced, with permission, from REF. 26 © (2008) Elsevier. Parts d and e are reproduced, with permission, from REF. 25 © (2001) Wiley-Blackwell. Part f is reproduced, with permission, from REF. 48 © (2009) Elsevier. Parts h and i are reproduced, with permission, from REF. 56 © (2010) Macmillan Publishers Ltd. All rights reserved. EPSP, excitatory postsynaptic potential; IPSP, field EPSP.
Focusing the nanodomain in space. This effect may be particularly pronounced for fast endogenous buffers (for example, calmodulin attached to presynaptic proteins), which may sharply focus the nanodomain in space. In general, fast endogenous buffers may reduce the amplitude of the Ca$^{2+}$ transient, offering a mechanism to control the efficacy of synaptic transmission via regulation of buffer expression levels. Several functional consequences are conceivable. First, fast endogenous buffers may reduce the amplitude of the Ca$^{2+}$ transient, offering a mechanism to control the efficacy of synaptic transmission via regulation of buffer expression levels. Second, fast endogenous buffers may contribute to the use-dependency of presynaptic Ca$^{2+}$ signalling.

Box 2 | Counting the number of release-relevant Ca$^{2+}$ channels

The number of open Ca$^{2+}$ channels required for transmitter release can be determined from the shape of the relationship between release and presynaptic Ca$^{2+}$ inflow. In synapses where presynaptic voltage clamp is possible, the number of open channels can be manipulated by varying the amplitude and duration of the depolarization. Under these conditions, the presynaptic Ca$^{2+}$ current can be directly recorded. In other synapses where presynaptic voltage clamp is not possible, the number of Ca$^{2+}$ channels can be changed by application of channel blockers. Under these conditions, presynaptic Ca$^{2+}$ inflow is quantified by Ca$^{2+}$ imaging. The results from these measurements then give the relationship between transmitter release and presynaptic Ca$^{2+}$ inflow. If a large number of open Ca$^{2+}$ channels are required for transmitter release, the relationship will be supralinear, approaching the biochemical cooperativity of the Ca$^{2+}$ sensor. By contrast, if a single open Ca$^{2+}$ channel is sufficient to trigger transmitter release, the relationship will be linear because the blocker will sequentially eliminate channel-vesicle nanocomplexes. If the number of channels is small, but >1, the shape of the relationship will be intermediate between these two extremes.

Evidently, the power coefficient of the release-Ca$^{2+}$ inflow relationship is not identical to the number of open Ca$^{2+}$ channels necessary for transmitter release. To quantitatively determine this number, modelling has to be performed. If blockers are used, a simple binomial model of Ca$^{2+}$ channel block can be chosen. However, several factors must be considered. The properties of the blocker are crucial: the ideal blocker should have slow kinetics and block Ca$^{2+}$ channels uniformly throughout the presynaptic terminal. Fast blockers that generate a flicker block or blockers that reduce the single-channel conductance cannot be used. The techniques for measuring presynaptic Ca$^{2+}$ inflow and transmitter release have to be quantitative and linear. The modelling is based on several assumptions, such as uniform coupling of release with Ca$^{2+}$ channels during presynaptic action potentials is significantly smaller than one. This approach has been successfully applied to synapses where transmitter release exclusively relies on a single type of Ca$^{2+}$ channel, such as the P/Q-type Ca$^{2+}$ channel in GABAergic synapses or the L-type Ca$^{2+}$ channel in auditory hair cell ribbon synapses. At synapses where transmitter release relies on the concerted action of P/Q-, N- and R-type channels, careful interpretation of the results is required. If release-Ca$^{2+}$ relationships are measured using subtype-specific blockers, the results will provide information about channel location rather than number. If channels are loosely coupled, they will contribute little to release (low power coefficient), whereas if they are tightly coupled, they will contribute more (high power coefficient). Thus, the power coefficients, although informative, are entirely unrelated to channel numbers. By contrast, non-additive blocker effects may provide indirect information about channel number. Evidence for non-additive blocker effects was reported at the young calyx of Held, glutamatergic synapses in the hippocampus and glutamatergic parallel fibre synapses in the cerebellum. In these synapses, the sum of the effects of individual blockers on transmitter release is larger than 100%, suggesting the involvement of a large number of channels.
SNARE
Soluble N-ethylmaleimide-sensitive-factor attachment protein (SNAP) receptor.

ELKS
Glutamic acid, leucine, lysine and serine-rich protein (also known as cytomatrix of the active zone-associated structural protein (CAST)).

during a first action potential saturates the buffer, the peak amplitude of a subsequent second Ca\(^{2+}\) transient will be facilitated relative to that of the first. Although facilitation of the Ca\(^{2+}\) transient is generally small, it will be amplified into a much larger facilitation of transmitter release because of intrinsic or biochemical cooperativity.\(^{23,55,56,88}\) For example, with a power coefficient of 3.3 (REF 56), a 1.1-fold (10%) increase would result in a (1.1)\(^{1.37}\) = 1.37-fold (37%) facilitation of release. Hence, endogenous Ca\(^{2+}\) buffers may regulate the amplitude, spatial extent and dynamics of Ca\(^{2+}\) nanodomains.

Among all Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding proteins, parvalbumin appears to be a special case because its EF hand sites bind both Ca\(^{2+}\) and Mg\(^{2+}\) [REFS 89–91]. Ca\(^{2+}\) binding exhibits fast on rate and high affinity, whereas Mg\(^{2+}\) binding is characterized by slower on rate and lower affinity. As the physiological cytoplasmic concentration of Mg\(^{2+}\) is high, Mg\(^{2+}\) has to unbind before Ca\(^{2+}\) can bind. Thus, parvalbumin may act as a slow buffer, in a similar way to the exogenous Ca\(^{2+}\) chelator EGTA.\(^{90,93}\) Furthermore, parvalbumin exhibits a higher mobility than other Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding proteins\(^{95,96}\). With all of these properties in mind, the tight correlation of parvalbumin expression with nanodomain signalling\(^{67,79}\) is highly perplexing. In some rapidly signalling synapses, the high total concentration of parvalbumin may provide a resolution to this apparent paradox. Although the fraction of free parvalbumin (the non-Mg\(^{2+}\)-bound, non-Ca\(^{2+}\)-bound state) under physiological conditions is <10%, the absolute concentration of the free buffer will be substantial under these conditions. This may have two consequences. First, parvalbumin may not exclusively act as a slow buffer (like EGTA);\(^{90}\) it may also act like a fast buffer (like BAPTA) under physiological conditions\(^{90}\). This explains how parvalbumin can affect synaptic transmission in tight coupling regimes\(^{21,68,70}\). Second, the Mg\(^{2+}\)-bound parvalbumin fraction will not primarily slow the effective Ca\(^{2+}\)-binding rate, but rather contribute to the regeneration of free buffer. Therefore, Mg\(^{2+}\) binding/unbinding may establish a ‘metabuffering’ (that is, buffering of buffering) mechanism, thus maintaining the concentration of free parvalbumin during repetitive activity in fast-spiking neurons. In parallel, the high mobility of parvalbumin will contribute to buffer regeneration in the nanodomain by rapid diffusion of free buffer from the periphery to the centre\(^{25,93}\).

From nanodomains to protein complexes
A distance between Ca\(^{2+}\) channels and sensors of exocytosis of ~20 nm (REF 26,42) is consistent with the idea that tight coupling is achieved by protein–protein interactions. Active zones are comprised of several evolutionarily conserved proteins, including members of the SNARE, RIM, ELKS and septin families\(^{94}\). Recent results show that several of these proteins have a role in nanodomain coupling (FIG. 3).

The first presynaptic proteins shown to be involved in protein–protein interactions with presynaptic Ca\(^{2+}\) channels were the t-SNARE proteins, syntaxin and SNAP25. Both biochemical experiments (yeast two-hybrid experiments, co-immunoprecipitation and proteomic screens) and functional co-expression studies indicated that syntaxin and SNAP25 directly interact with voltage-gated Ca\(^{2+}\) channels at the intracellular loop between domains II and III of the channel protein, the so called ‘synprint’ site\(^{95–99}\). Synaptotagmin, the Ca\(^{2+}\) sensor that triggers exocytosis, also interacts with the synprint site in a Ca\(^{2+}\)-dependent manner\(^{95–98}\). Intriguingly, the interactions between Ca\(^{2+}\) channels and SNARE proteins also affect Ca\(^{2+}\) channel function. Co-expression of syntaxin and SNAP25 with Ca\(^{2+}\) channels reduces the channel open probability, whereas additional co-expression of synaptotagmin reverses this effect\(^{98}\). These results suggest a dual function for protein–protein interactions between Ca\(^{2+}\) channels and SNAREs in nanodomain coupling. First, they link the individual molecular elements within the nanodomain. Second, they establish a regulatory switch by which presynaptic Ca\(^{2+}\) channels bound to Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors are functionally selected, whereas Ca\(^{2+}\) channels decoupled from Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors are disabled.

Another protein that is relevant for the Ca\(^{2+}\) channel–sensor coupling is the Drosophila melanogaster protein Bruchpilot. Bruchpilot is a ~200 kDa active zone protein containing several coiled-coil domains\(^{100}\). In the neuromuscular junctions of Bruchpilot knockout flies, synaptic efficacy is reduced and sensitivity to EGTA-AM is increased, suggesting a conversion from nanodomain to microdomain coupling\(^{101}\). In mammalian synapses, two proteins homologous to Bruchpilot, ELKS/RAB6-interacting/CAST family member 1 (ERC1) and ERC2 are expressed. However, genetic elimination of ERC1 and ERC2 in mice has only moderate effects on synaptic function\(^{101,102}\). Further studies will be required to clarify the exact role of ELKS proteins in the regulation of coupling at mammalian synapses.

α-neurexins also appear to be involved in the regulation of coupling between Ca\(^{2+}\) channels and Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors of exocytosis\(^{103}\). Neurexins are 200 kDa polymorphic cell surface proteins with several epidermal growth factor (EGF) and laminin-neurexin–sex hormone binding globulin domains. They are encoded by three genes and expressed in ~1,000 isoforms. α-neurexins interact with neuroligins on the postsynaptic membrane and with both ELKS and synaptotagmin within the presynaptic terminal\(^{103,104}\). Deletion of all three neurexin genes reduces evoked transmitter release and the contribution of N-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channels to release at synapses in brainstem and cortex\(^{105}\), consistent with a role for α-neurexins in the regulation of Ca\(^{2+}\) channel–sensor coupling. Neurexin–neuroligin interactions may potentially explain the target cell specificity of coupling\(^{102}\). Ca\(^{2+}\) chelator experiments in neurexin knockout synapses will be needed to test this idea.

Recent results suggest that RIMs have a central organizing role in regulating the coupling between Ca\(^{2+}\) channels and Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors of exocytosis\(^{105,106}\) (FIG. 3b–d). RIMs are multimodal proteins that contain a PDZ domain that selectively interacts with the C terminus of P/Q- and N-type channels. RIMs also contain a binding site for the RIM-binding proteins (RIM-BPs), which in turn binds to several Ca\(^{2+}\) channel subtypes\(^{107}\). Thus,
RIMs establish two links to voltage-gated Ca\(^{2+}\) channels: a direct and specific link, and an indirect and unselective link via RIM-BP. In inhibitory hippocampal synapses in culture, genetic elimination of RIM1 and RIM2 reduces the amplitude of evoked inhibitory postsynaptic currents, desynchronizes release, accelerates the onset of the blocking effects of EGTA-AM and shifts the dependence of release on extracellular Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration to higher values\(^{109}\) (FIG. 3c,d). Taken together, these results suggest that the coupling between Ca\(^{2+}\) channels and Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors of exocytosis is disrupted in RIM1 and RIM2 double knockout synapses. Similarly, in the calyx of Held, genetic elimination of RIM1 and RIM2 reduces both the presynaptic Ca\(^{2+}\) channel density and the amplitude of the Ca\(^{2+}\) transient at the Ca\(^{2+}\) sensor\(^{109}\). Additionally, RIM1 and RIM2 knockout may also affect the number of docked and primed vesicles\(^{105,106}\). Thus, at both inhibitory hippocampal synapses and the calyx of Held, RIMs seem to be crucially involved in the regulation of the coupling between Ca\(^{2+}\) channels and Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors of exocytosis.

Finally, the presynaptic GTP/GDP- and syntaxin-binding protein septin regulates the coupling between Ca\(^{2+}\) channels and Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors\(^{108,109}\). Septins are ~35 kDa proteins that form oligomers and higher order structures, such as filaments, rings and gauzes. Septins may form filaments between synaptic vesicles and active zones\(^{109}\). In the young calyx of Held, genetic elimination of septin 5 reduces the sensitivity to EGTA, suggesting a conversion from microdomain to nanodomain coupling\(^{109}\). Two aspects of the function of septin 5 are remarkable. First, unlike other presynaptic proteins, septin 5 increases the coupling distance, suggesting antagonistic control of coupling by presynaptic proteins. Second, the expression of septin 5 is downregulated during development, suggesting an involvement in the developmental switch from microdomain to nanodomain coupling at the calyx\(^{109}\).

Intriguingly, the tightness of the coupling not only depends on various release machinery proteins but also on the Ca\(^{2+}\) channel subtype. In basket cell output synapses of the hippocampus and cerebellum, as well as in the mature calyx of Held, tight coupling goes hand-in-hand with the nearly exclusive use of P/Q-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channels for transmitter release\(^{115,116,117}\). By contrast, loose coupling is often correlated with the involvement of N- or R-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channels\(^{118}\). Additionally, there is evidence that P/Q- and N-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channels populate partially non-overlapping ‘slots’ within the active zone of glutamatergic synapses\(^{114}\). Finally, L-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channels (rather than P/Q-, N- or R-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channels) are tightly coupled to their Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors in auditory hair cells\(^{119,120}\). Clearly, this coupling specificity cannot be mediated by the synprint site, which follows an efficacy sequence of N > P/Q > L\(^{115,116}\). Thus, the molecular mechanisms underlying this specificity remain unclear.

**Nanodomain: advantage, bug or feature?**

What are the functional consequences of nanodomain coupling? This question can be systematically addressed by modelling, combining simulation of buffered diffusion (Supplementary information S1 (box)) with previously established models of Ca\(^{2+}\) channel gating\(^{39,40}\) and Ca\(^{2+}\) sensor kinetics\(^{80-82,117,118}\) (Supplementary information S1 (box)).

Modelling revealed that nanodomain coupling offers several functional advantages, but may also have disadvantages. The long list of obvious advantages includes increased efficacy and speed of synaptic transmission (FIG. 4a–c). First, tight coupling reduces the synaptic delay\(^{22,26}\). Although the reduction in delay is small for a monosynaptic connection (~100 μs), cumulative effects are expected in polysynaptic chains. Second, tight coupling reduces the duration of the release period, as the time course of the Ca\(^{2+}\) transient ‘seen’ by the Ca\(^{2+}\) sensor is faster in nanodomain than in microdomain coupling regimes. Third, tight coupling increases the ratio of peak Ca\(^{2+}\) to residual Ca\(^{2+}\) and hence the ratio of synchronous to asynchronous release\(^{26,111}\). Therefore, in relative terms, tight coupling reduces asynchronous release. This effect may be particularly important in small boutons, in which residual Ca\(^{2+}\) concentration after an action potential is higher than in large presynaptic terminals. Finally, another advantage of nanodomain coupling is that release outside the active zone (‘ectopic release’) is minimized\(^{100,111}\). As tight coupling of a small number of channels to the Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors reduces the total Ca\(^{2+}\) inflow into presynaptic terminals, this configuration is also favourable for the energetics of synaptic transmission (FIG. 4d). Ca\(^{2+}\) extrusion from the presynaptic terminal involves either Na\(^{+}/Ca\(^{2+}\) exchangers or Ca\(^{2+}\)-ATPases\(^{122}\). In both cases, the extrusion of one Ca\(^{2+}\) ion requires the hydrolysis of ~1 ATP molecule. A coupling configuration in which a small number of Ca\(^{2+}\) channels are tightly coupled to presynaptic Ca\(^{2+}\) sensors therefore reduces the metabolic cost of synaptic transmission. Such an energy-saving mechanism may be important in GABAergic synapses in the cortex and at glutamatergic synapses in the auditory pathway, which are active at high frequencies under physiological conditions in vivo.

A potential disadvantage of nanodomain coupling with a small number of Ca\(^{2+}\) channels could be an additional ‘jitter’ of evoked transmitter release caused by the stochastic opening of presynaptic Ca\(^{2+}\) channels\(^{123}\) (FIG. 4e). However, whereas the opening and closing of Ca\(^{2+}\) channels is stochastic, the rising phase of the corresponding Ca\(^{2+}\) transient evoked by an overshooting action potential is largely deterministic, governed by the increase in driving force during the repolarization phase\(^{46,123}\) (FIG. 4e). Thus, transmitter release remains tightly synchronized, even if evoked release is triggered by only a small number of Ca\(^{2+}\) channels.

Another potential disadvantage of nanodomain coupling is that stochastic openings of Ca\(^{2+}\) channels at rest might trigger spontaneous transmitter release\(^{13}\) (FIG. 4f). However, recent results in dentate gyrus granule cells suggest that blocking P/Q-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channels with ω-agatoxin IVA has no effect on miniature inhibitory postsynaptic current (IPSC) frequency, although evoked release at basket cell–granule cell
**Synaptic depression**

Decrease in efficacy of synaptic transmission during and after stimulation of the presynaptic neuron. Synaptic depression is often interpreted as a depletion of the releasable pool of synaptic vesicles, although other mechanisms such as changes in presynaptic action potential shape and inactivation of presynaptic Ca²⁺ channels may also contribute.

**Synaptic facilitation**

Short-lasting increase in efficacy of synaptic transmission during and after repetitive stimulation. Synaptic facilitation is often attributed to residual Ca²⁺ following the action potential, although other mechanisms such as saturation of endogenous buffers may also contribute.

Synapses exclusively relies on P/Q-type Ca²⁺ channels. Furthermore, BAPTA-AM and EGTA-AM reduce miniature IPSC frequency to the same extent, suggesting that microdomains rather than nanodomains trigger spontaneous release. Thus, the high activation threshold and steep voltage dependence of P/Q-type Ca²⁺ channels, and the use of two or three open Ca²⁺ channels rather than a single channel, may protect the synapse from excessive spontaneous release generated by stochastic Ca²⁺ channel opening.

Nanodomain coupling also has substantial implications for synaptic dynamics, promoting synaptic depression over synaptic facilitation for two reasons. First, for any given number of channels, it increases release probability...
and thus enhances depression owing to depletion of the releasable pool of synaptic vesicles. Second, it reduces facilitation by decreasing the relative weight of residual Ca\(^{2+}\) (REF 125). Consistent with these effects, the fast signalling synapses that rely on nanodomain coupling often show depression during high-frequency stimulus trains, albeit to a different extent\(^{19-21}\).

Finally, nanodomain coupling will have implications for how neuromodulators affect the release of neurotransmitters and how they interact with synaptic dynamics. Previous studies suggested that presynaptic G-protein–coupled receptors (such as presynaptic GABA\(_\text{A}\) receptors) reduce the activity of P/Q- and N-type Ca\(^{2+}\) channels via binding of G-protein \(\beta\)- and \(\gamma\)-subunits to Ca\(^{2+}\) channels\(^{126}\). In nanodomain coupling regimes, this will have two consequences. First, the reduction in transmitter release will be largely proportional to the degree of presynaptic receptor activation. This may
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**Figure 4** | **Functional consequences of nanodomain coupling.**

- **a** Increased ratio of synchronous to asynchronous release
- **b** Reduced diffusional delay
- **c** Increased efficacy
- **d** Minimal Ca\(^{2+}\) load and energetic advantages
- **e** Stochastic channel opening during action potentials
- **f** Stochastic Ca\(^{2+}\) channel opening at rest
allow a more precise regulation of synaptic efficacy than a highly supralinear relationship. Second, as presynaptic receptor activation will reduce the number of Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channel–vesicle nanocomplexes but will not affect release probability, the neuromodulators will not affect short-term dynamics, resulting in scaling of synaptic responses during repetitive stimulation, as observed in the hippocampus\cite{22} (but see REF. 142 for observations in the neocortex).

Conclusions

Twenty years after the original finding of nanodomain coupling at the squid giant synapse\cite{11}, and after a subsequent decade of accumulating evidence for microdomain coupling at central synapses\cite{23}, it has become clear that synapses in the mammalian CNS also make extensive use of nanodomain coupling for fast transmitter release. In particular, GABAergic interneuron output synapses and glutamatergic synapses in the auditory pathway rely on nanodomain coupling. Nanodomain coupling provides several functional advantages, including efficacy, speed and energy efficiency of synaptic transmission. How abundantly nanodomain coupling is used by different synapses in the mammalian CNS remains to be addressed. Furthermore, the rules of synapse specificity of nanodomain coupling remain to be determined. Finally, it will be interesting to see whether nanodomain coupling between Ca\textsuperscript{2+} channels and Ca\textsuperscript{2+} sensors of exocytosis is disrupted in neurological or psychiatric diseases\cite{29}.
Evolution that a few Ca²⁺ channels trigger exocytosis at auditory hair cell ribbon synapses.


This paper shows that a putative perforant path synapses on CA1 pyramidal neurons exhibit a presynaptic form of long-term potentiation dependent on N-type Ca²⁺ recruitment. This may suggest that the coupling between Ca²⁺ channels and transmitter release is altered during presynaptic forms of plasticity.
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A detailed modelling paper that cleans up several misconceptions regarding the cooperativity of Ca\(^{2+}\) inflow and transmitter release.
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